

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,866	09/22/2003	Anup Bhalla	VISH-819 . DIV	3162	
7	590 07/27/2004	EXAMINER			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			ORTIZ, EDGARDO		
Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113 -			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sun 2000, C.1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2815		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200-	DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/668,866	BHALLA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>	Edgardo Ortiz	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-19 and 40-48, drawn to a trench-gated MIS device, classified in class
     257, subclass 329.
  - II. Claims 20-39, drawn to process of fabricating an MIS device, classified in class438, subclass +1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the trench-gated MIS device can be manufactured by a different process including the step of overflowing a gate material outside of a trench area and leaving an inactive gate material portion over the trench area in order to create a contact area for a subsequent gate metal layer, instead of etching the gate material to a level below the surface of a semiconductor chip in all areas of the chip, as claimed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,866 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

2. Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims 1-19 and 40-48 are directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. Species I the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 9.
- B. Species II the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 10.
- C. Species III the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 11.
- D. Species IV the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 15A.
- E. Species V the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 16A.
- F. Species VI the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 17A.
- G. Species VII the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 18.
- H. Species VIII the embodiment as illustrated in Figure 19.

Claims 20-39 are directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. Species I the embodiment as illustrated in Figures 20A-20F.
- B. Species II the embodiment as illustrated in Figures 21A-21I.
- C. Species III the embodiment as illustrated in Figures 22A-22I.
- D. Species IV the embodiment as illustrated in Figures 23A-23J.
- E. Species V the embodiment as illustrated in Figures 24A-24I.
- F. Species VI the embodiment as illustrated in Figures 25A-25F.

3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1735. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (1st Friday Off).

Application/Control Number: 10/668,866

Page 5

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/22/04